Original Article

Antiviral Effect of Doxycycline against Dengue 2, Influenza A (H1N1), Influenza B, Human Rhinovirus 17, Human Adenovirus, and Human Respiratory Syncytial Virus : An *In-vitro* Study

Hemant Thacker¹, Mayank Ravindra Dhore², Snehal Muchhala³, Monil Gala⁴, Arti Sanghvi⁵, Swathi Bhureddy⁶, Mahesh BN⁷, Bhavesh Kotak⁸

Objective : The purpose of this study was to determine *in-vitro* antiviral activity of Doxycycline against Dengue 2, Influenza A Virus (H1N1), Influenza B Virus, Human Rhinovirus 17 Virus, Human Adenovirus and Human Respiratory Syncytial Virus in a cell infection model (Prophylactic method and Co-culture method) by the MTT Assay.

Methods : *In-vitro* cell lines culture of Dengue 2, Influenza A Virus (H1N1), Influenza B Virus, Human Rhinovirus 17 Virus, Human Adenovirus and Human Respiratory Syncytial Virus in a cell infection model used (Prophylactic method and Co-culture method) and antiviral activity determined using cytotoxicity test by the MTT Assay.

Result : The *in-vitro* cytotoxicity test was performed for Doxycycline upto 2250µM. The *in-vitro* antiviral activity of Doxycycline against Dengue 2 was performed and the IC₅₀ was 135.5 µM in the Prophylactic method and 114.5 µM in the co-culture method, Activity against Influenza A Virus (H1N1) the IC₅₀ was 262.3 µM in the Prophylactic method and 184.1 µM in the Co-culture method. Activity against Influenza B Virus was 330.9 µM in the Prophylactic method and 286.4 µM in the Co-culture method, Human rhinovirus 17 and the IC50 was 387.3 µM in the Prophylactic method and 325.9 µM in the Co-culture method. Activity against Human Adenovirus and the IC50 was 146.5 µM in the prophylactic method and 225.5 µM in the Co-culture method and 165.2 µM in the Co-culture method. Doxycycline exhibits antiviral activity against selected virus in both Prophylactic method and Co-culture methods using the MTT testing was observed.

Conclusion : This study provides valuable insights into the antiviral activity of doxycycline against selected viruses. This antiviral property of Doxycycline will prove beneficial in minimizing secondary infections and complications especially when used as an adjunct.

[J Indian Med Assoc 2023; **121(6):** 41-6]

Key words : Doxycycline, Cell Line study, Co-Culture Method, CTT, MTT Assay, Prophylactic Method.

Viral infections, such as Dengue, Influenza (H1N1), Influenza B, Human Rhinovirus 17, Adenovirus, and Respiratory Syncytial Virus, cause global public health concerns due to their morbidity and mortality rates. Dengue 2 virus is a mosquito-borne pathogen that poses a severe health risk in tropical and subtropical

Received on : 02/01/2023 Accepted on : 16/02/2023

Editor's Comment :

Doxycycline is a broad-spectrum antibiotic with antiinflammatory activity, evidence suggest that doxycycline also has antiviral property and was used during COVID-19 pandemic for saving life. This study provides valuable insights into the antiviral activity of doxycycline against viruses causing Flu. This evidence with Doxycycline will prove beneficial in minimizing secondary infections and complications especially when used as an adjunct in patients suffering from viral infections.

regions¹. Influenza viruses, including H1N1, result in seasonal outbreaks and pandemics². Human Rhinovirus 17 causes the common cold, while Human Adenovirus leads to respiratory, ocular and gastrointestinal infections as main manifestation^{3,4}. Human Respiratory Syncytial Virus mainly affects infants and young children, causing severe respiratory infections⁵.

Doxycycline, a tetracycline antibiotic, possesses antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory properties⁶. It is Food and Drug Administration approved antimalarial drug⁷. Besides bacterial infections, doxycycline has

¹MD, MRSH, FACP, FACE, FISH, FRCP, Director and Head, Department of Medicine, Bhatia Hospital, Mumbai 400007

²MD Pharma, Medical Advisor, Department of Medical Affairs, Dr Reddys Laboratories Ltd, Mumbai 400072 and Corresponding Author

³MBBS, MBA, Cluster Medical Head, Department of Medical Affairs, Dr Reddys Laboratories Ltd, Hyderabad 500090

⁴MBBS, MD, Medical Advisor, Department of Medical Affairs, Dr Reddys Laboratories Ltd, Hyderabad 500090

⁵BHMS, PGD, Team Lead, Clinical Research, Department of Medical Affairs, Dr Reddys Laboratories Ltd, Hyderabad 500090

⁶B Tech, MBA, Clinical Project Lead, Department of Medical Affairs, Dr Reddys Laboratories Ltd, Hyderabad 500090

⁷BV Sc, Study Director, Department of Research, TheraIndx Lifesciences Pvt Ltd, Bangalore, Karnataka 562123

⁸MBBS, MS, FRCS, Head Medical Affairs, Department of Medical Affairs, Dr Reddys Laboratories Ltd, Hyderabad 500090

also shown antiviral properties against herpes simplex, dengue and retroviruses⁸. It inhibits the replication of Vesicular Stomatitis Virus and the entry and replication of the Chikungunya virus⁹⁻¹¹. In silico analysis suggested that doxycycline could potentially act as an inhibitor of the nucleoprotein of the Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus, a crucial Protein involved in viral replication¹². These findings highlight the broadspectrum antiviral potential of Doxycycline across different viral families and its ability to target distinct stages of the viral replication cycle.

Investigating Doxycycline's antiviral effects on various viruses, including Human Rhinovirus 17, Human Adenovirus, Human Respiratory Syncytial Virus, Dengue 2, Influenza A (H1N1), Influenza B and Human Rhinovirus 17, could clarify its potential as a broadspectrum antiviral therapy. Such research may reduce the global burden of viral illnesses and provide new management approaches.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study utilized Doxycycline, Ribavirin, Fetal Bovine Serum, D-PBS, DMEM, EMEM, MTT Reagent, and DMSO obtained from HiMedia and Sigma. A 96well plate from Corning was used for cell culture along with viruses and cell lines. The solubility of Doxycycline was by dissolving an adequate quantity of DMSO to produce a Master Stock (MS) solution with a concentration of 225,000 μ M. The MS solution was diluted to obtain a series of Working Stock (WS) solutions, as indicated in Table 1 with an assay volume of 200 μ l, and the final concentration of DMSO in the assay was maintained at 1%.

Virus Preparation :

A concentration of 100 TCID50/ml or the quantity of virus needed to produce cytopathic effects (CPE) in 80% of infected cells, was obtained by thawing and diluting the frozen viral stock.

Preparation of Cell Line :

A vial of working stock cells was thawed and added to a complete medium, centrifuged, and resuspended in a T-25 flask. The flask was incubated until cell confluency reached around 80%. The cells were then transferred to two T-75 flasks and once cell confluency reached 80-90%, the flask was used for the assay after detaching the cells using trypsin EDTA.

Cytotoxicity Test:

Cells were seeded in a 96-well plate with 200 μ l of cell suspension (in complete medium with 10% FBS) without the test agent and allowed to grow for approximately 24 hours. After incubation, spent media in the wells of a 96-well plate was decanted, washed with DPBS and Treatment media (1% pen-strep and 2% FBS) containing appropriate concentrations of Doxycycline were added. The plates were then incubated at 37°C in a 5% CO2 atmosphere for 3 days (72 hours) and an MTT test was performed.

Antiviral activity Test (Prophylactic Method (PM) / Pre-treatment strategy) :

Cells were seeded in a 96-well plate and incubated for 24 hours. After removing the spent media, the cells were treated with the Doxycycline and incubated for an additional period. Then, the virus was added and incubated. After removing the virus, a fresh medium was added and the plates were observed daily for CPE. Once there was 85-90% CPE in the virus control, an MTT assay was performed^{13,14}.

Antiviral activity Test (Co-culture method (CCM) / During and after the adsorption) :

Cells were seeded with complete media containing 10% FBS in a 96-well plate and allowed to grow for 24 hours. Then, the spent media was removed and 100 TCID50/ml of the virus was added and incubated for 2 hours. After that, a complete medium with a Doxycycline was added and plates were incubated for 72 hours. The plates were checked daily for CPE and if there was no CPE, the incubation period was extended. An MTT assay was performed after there was 85-90% CPE in the virus control^{15,16}.

RESULTS

Various cell lines were employed to evaluate the efficacy of doxycycline against Dengue 2, Influenza A

Table 1 — Details of Viruses and Cell Lines									
Name of Viruses	ATCC number of Viruses	Name of cell lines	ATCC number of cell lines	Media					
Dengue 2	ATCC® VR-1584™	BHK-21	ATCC® CCL-10™	DMEM					
Influenza A Virus (H1N1)	ATCC®VR-219™	MDCK	ATCC® CCL-34™	DMEM					
Influenza B Virus	ATCC®VR-1735™	MDCK	ATCC® CCL-34™	DMEM					
Human Rhinovirus 17 Virus	ATCC®VR- 1663™	H1HeLa	ATCC® CRL-1958™	EMEM					
Human Adenovirus	ATCC®VR-5™	HeLa	ATCC® CCL-2™	EMEM					
Human Respiratory Syncytial Virus	ATCC® VR-1540™	HEp-2	ATCC® CCL-23™	EMEM					
ATCC: American Type Culture Collection, BHK-21: Baby Hamster Kidney- 21, MDCK: Madin- Darby Canine Kidney, HeLa: Henrietta Lacks, Hep-2: Human Epithelial Type 2 cells, DMEM: Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium, EMEM: Eagle's Minimal Essential Medium									

Virus (H1N1), Influenza B Virus, Human Rhinovirus 17 Virus, Human Adenovirus and Human Respiratory Syncytial Virus, employing both the Prophylactic Method (PM) and Co-culture Method (CCM).

Using the PM for Dengue 2 Virus in the BHK-21 cell line, Doxycycline generated an IC₅₀ of 135.5 μ M, Imax of 85%, and mean inhibition of CPE ranging from 6.5% to 84.9%, whereas, using the CCM, it produced an IC₅₀ of 114.5 μ M, Imax of 87% and mean CPE of 13.2% to 86.7%. The vehicle control exhibited an Imax of 5.2% and mean CPE of 5.2 \pm 0.5% by the CCM, whereas an of Imax of 3.3%, and mean CPE of 3.3 \pm 0.5% by the PM for different concentrations.

Doxycycline exhibited a dose-dependent reduction using the PM for Influenza A Virus (H1N1) in the MDCK cell line with an IC₅₀ of 262.3 μ M, Imax of 75% and mean CPE ranging from 8% to 74.8% whereas, using the CCM, it produced an IC₅₀ of 184.1 μ M, Imax of 80% and mean CPE of 6.9% to 79.5% The vehicle control produced an Imax of 6.9% and mean CPE of 6.9 \pm 0.9% by the CCM and an Imax of 6.8% and mean CPE of 6.8 \pm 1.0% by the PM for different concentrations.

Furthermore, when tested against the influenza B Virus in an MDCK Cell Infection Model, Doxycycline generated a mean CPE of 9.2% to 71.0%, IC_{50} of 330.9 μ M, and an Imax of 71%, by the PM, whereas it produced a mean CPE of 13.3% to 68.2%, IC_{50} of 286.4 μ M and an Imax of 68%, by the CCM. The vehicle control produced a mean CPE of 9.2±0.3% and an Imax of 9.2%, by the PM, whereas it produced a mean CPE of 7.4 ± 1.0%, and an Imax of 7.4%, by the CCM.

When examined against Human Rhinovirus 17 (HRV-17) in H1HeLa cell lines, Doxycycline generated mean CPE of 9.7% to 68.3%, IC_{50} of 387.3 µM and an

Imax of 68.3%, by the PM, whereas it produced mean CPE of 8.2% to 71.6%, IC_{50} of 325.9 μ M and an Imax of 71.6%, by the CCM. The vehicle control produced a mean CPE of 9.1 ± 1.3% and an Imax of 9.1%, by the PM, whereas it produced a mean CPE of 9.0 ± 0.3% and an Imax of 9%, by the CCM.

Doxycycline generated a mean CPE of 11.0% to 82.5%, IC_{50} of 146.5 µM and an Imax of 82.5%, by the PM, whereas it produced a mean CPE of 11.1% to 83.8%, IC_{50} of 106.6 µM, and an Imax of 83.8%, by the CCM when observed against Human Adenovirus in the HeLa cell line. On the other hand, vehicle control produced a mean CPE of 9.7±0.5% and an Imax of 9.7%, by the PM, whereas it produced a mean CPE of 10.2 ± 0.6% and an Imax of 10.2%, by the CCM.

Similarly, when examined against the Human Respiratory Syncytial Virus (HRSV) in the HEp-2 cell line, Doxycycline generated mean CPE of 12.0% to 79.3%, IC_{50} of 225.5 μ M and an Imax of 79%, by the PM, whereas it produced mean CPE of 11.2% to 81.1%, IC_{50} of 165.2 μ M, and an Imax of 81%, by the CCM. The vehicle control produced a mean CPE of 8.8 ± 0.6%, and an Imax of 8.8%, by the PM, whereas it produced a mean CPE of 9.9 ± 0.9% and an Imax of 10.2%, by the CCM.

The CCM generally produced higher mean values for CPE inhibition compared to the PM. These results indicated in Table 2 suggest that Doxycycline may be a more potent drug as it exhibited a dose-dependent reduction than the vehicle control. Also, it did not produce any significant cytotoxicity effect up to 2250 μ M. Similarly, the vehicle control had negligible cytotoxic effect.

Fig 1 depicts the antiviral activity of Doxycycline against six different viruses. The IC50 values indicate



Fig 1 — Antiviral activity of Doxycycline in prophylactic (1-A) and Co-Culture (1-B) methods

the concentration of Doxycycline required to reduce viral replication by 50% *in- vitro*. The lowest IC50value was observed for Human Adenovirus (146.5µM), followed by Dengue-2 (135.5µM), Influenza A (262.3µM), Influenza B (330.9µM) and Human Rhinovirus 17 (387.3µM) by PM (1-A) while Graph 1B depicts the IC50 values for Human Adenovirus (106.6 µM), followed by Dengue-2 (114.5 µM), Influenza A (184.1 µM), Influenza B (286.4 µM) and Human Rhinovirus 17 (325.9 µM) for CCM.

Similarly, Fig 2 depicts the antiviral activity of Ribavirin against the same viruses. The IC50value was observed for Human Adenovirus (27.46 μ M), followed by Dengue-2 (27.15 μ M), Influenza A (29.97 μ M), Influenza B (14.79 μ M) and Human Rhinovirus 17 (36.97 μ M) by PMin graph 2-A while 2-B graph depicts the IC50 values for Human Adenovirus (18.38 μ M), followed by Dengue-2 (20.94 μ M), Influenza A (18.71 μ M), Influenza B (12.86 μ M) and Human Rhinovirus 17 (32.36 μ M) for CCM.

DISCUSSION

The study employed two methods, PM and CCM to evaluate the antiviral activity of Doxycycline. In PM, cells were cultured together and allowed to interact directly, while in CCM, different cell types were cultured in the same medium without physical contact, relying on the transfer of soluble materials for interaction. The Co-cultured cells were divided into target cells and helping cells, working together to form functional tissues and perform essential tasks. This co-operative interaction between different cell types in CCM influenced their behavior and activities¹⁷.

The results showed that Doxycycline exhibited antiviral activity against all the tested viruses, although its effectiveness varied depending on the virus and the method used for testing. The PM was involved in treating the cells with the compounds before infecting them with the virus, while the CCM was involved in treating the virus and the cells with the compounds simultaneously. In the PM, Doxycycline exhibited IC50 values ranging from 114.5 to 387.3 μ M. The R² values were high, indicating a strong correlation between the tested concentrations and the observed antiviral activities.

Ribavirin is an established antiviral agent with a known mechanism of action, specifically inhibiting viral replication (Table 3). It is widely recognized for its broadspectrum antiviral activity¹⁸. On the other hand, Doxycycline, although primarily known as an antibiotic, has shown promising antiviral properties in recent studies. While the exact mechanism of Doxycycline's antiviral action is not fully understood, its potential as an adjunct treatment for viral infections is increasingly recognized. By harnessing its antiviral properties, Doxycycline could serve as a valuable therapeutic option to complement existing antiviral agents and minimize complications in patients with viral infections¹⁰⁻¹². Another recent study observed the pathogenesis involved to attenuate Influenza Virus by inhibiting matrix metalloproteinases within the Neutrophils¹⁹. The findings of this study suggest that Doxycycline has the potential to be an antiviral drug for the treatment of viral infections and provides valuable insights into its antiviral activity against six different viruses, although its effectiveness varies depending on the virus and the method used.



Fig 2 — Antiviral activity of Ribavirin in prophylactic (2-A) and Co-Culture (2-B) methods

Table 2 — The antiviral activity of Doxycycline against different viruses and cell lines												
Virus and												
Cell Lines	s 1-PM	1-CCM	2-PM	2-CCM	3-PM	3-CCM	4-PM	4-CCM	5-PM	5-CCM	6-PM	+6-CCM
Group (µM) Mean Inhibition CPE [%] ± SD												
Vehicle												
control	3.1± 0.5	5.2± 0.5	6.8±1.0	6.9±0.9	9.2±0.3	7.4±1.0	9.1± 1.3	9.0± 0.3	9.7± 0.5	10.2 ± 0.6	8.8 ± 0.6	9.9 ± 0.9
8.79	6.5±0.8	13.2±0.9	8.0±0.4	6.9±0.8	9.2±0.5	13.3±2.0	9.7±0.6	8.2 ±1.6	11.0±0.6	11.1±1.4	12.0±1.5	11.2±0.5
17.58	16±2.6	18.9±1	9.9±1.0	15.2±0.6	10.9±1.0	16.9±1.7	11.4±0.7	10.2±1.1	20.1±2.0	25.5±2.1	10.7±0.1	14.3±1.0
35.16	21.4±1.2	30.1±1.5	19.9±0.5	23.1±0.4	15.7±1.3	22.2±1.4	15.4±1.4	17.2±1.3	26.7±0.4	35.1±1.3	15.0±1.1	26.1±1.5
70.313	36.6±0.9	39.4±1.6	25.6±1.9	29.8±2.6	24.4±1.1	31.4±1.5	22.7±0.8	24.1±0.6	36.4±2.5	41.9±1.1	26.8±2.1	35.5±1.2
140.63	55.7±1.4	54.5±1	36.9±0.5	44.0±0.9	32.8±0.8	40.7±0.3	32.7±1.6	35.6±0.3	49.4± 2.7	54.5±2.6	41.8±1.0	46.2±2.7
281.3	63.8±1.4	65.1±0.9	52.6±1.6	61.0±1.9	47.6±1.4	49.6±1.3	44.2±1.0	47.2±0.8	62.1±1.1	64.7±2.5	55.0±0.2	60.2±1.8
563	75.2±0.9	77.5±1.5	64.6±2.0	69.8±0.7	60.5±0.6	59.7±0.7	56.8±1.4	59.4±1.1	70.1±1.9	74.9±2.1	67.1±2.4	69.6±1.8
1125	84.9±1.7	86.7±0.7	74.8±0.8	79.5±0.8	71.0±0.5	68.2±0.7	68.3±1.0	71.6±0.7	82.5±1.6	83.8±2.4	79.3±0.3	81.1±1.6
IC50	135.5 µM	114.5 µM	262.3 µM	184.1 µM	330.9 µM	286.4 µM	387.3 µM	325.9 µM	146.5 µM	106.6 µM	225.5 µM	165.2 µM
Imax	85%	87%	75%	80%	71 %	68 %	68.3%	71.6%	82.5 %	83.8 %	79%	81 %
1: Dengue 2 virus in BHK-21cell line; 2: Influenza A Virus (H1N1) in MDCK cell line; 3: Influenza B Virus in a MDCK Cell Infection Model;												
4: Human Rhinovirus 17 in H1HeLa cell line; 5: Human Adenovirus in HeLa cell line; 6: Human Respiratory Syncytial Virus in HEp-2 cell												
line, PM: Prophylactic Method, CCM: Co-culture Method, SD: Standard Deviation, CPE: Cytopathic Effect												

Table 2 — The antiviral activity of Ribavirin against different viruses and cell lines												
Virus and	b											
Cell Lines	s 1-PM	1-CCM	2-PM	2-CCM	3-PM	3-CCM	4-PM	4-CCM	5-PM	5-CCM	6-PM	+6-CCM
Group (μM) Mean Inhibition CPE [%] ± SD												
Vehicle												
control	4.0±0.5	3.3±0.5	7.5±0.8	7.3±1.3	9.1±1.0	7.5±1.2	8.1±0.9	7.3±0.8	8.7±0.6	7.7±0.5	9.0±0.4	9.2±1.1
8.79	6.0±0.3	6.5± 0.8	7.7±0.6	6.4±0.9	8.6± 0.4	8.5±0.9	8.2±1.0	8.6±0.6	8.8±0.1	8.3±0.4	9.6±0.7	19.8±1.9
17.58	8.3±1.8	7.3±0.7	9.9±1.9	8.2±0.5	13.4±1.0	15.9±0.7	10.2±0.9	9.1±0.9	9.4±0.1	16.6±1.5	17.8±1.2	25.8±1.9
35.16	17.0±0.8	10.7±1.9	10.6±1.8	16.9±1.6	21.1±1.2	23.4±1.4	15.3±1.1	13.6±0.2	8.7±0.3	23.2±1.7	24.5±2.4	38.6±1.3
70.313	23.1±2.7	16.8±1.4	21.2±1.4	25.1±2.5	33.4±0.2	36.6±0.8	21.4±1.6	13.6±0.2	17.6±1.9	30.8±2.6	35.8±2.7	50.0±0.9
140.63	31.4±1.7	36.9±2.1	31.5±1.7	36.8±1.1	48.1±1.1	48.8±1.9	30.4±1.8	29.7±0.9	28.4±0.5	40.4±1.8	47.0±2.1	60.9±2.2
281.3	47.5±1.9	54.6±1.5	43.9±2.0	56.5±2.2	59.8±1.2	63.1±1.8	42.3±0.7	29.7±0.9	46.8±1.5	55.6±2.7	62.8±0.9	68.9±1.9
563	65.0±0.9	73.2±0.9	61.9±1.4	73.2±2.8	72.5±2.0	75.0±1.9	55.3±1.3	59.5±1.6	66.8±0.4	67.7±1.6	72.5±2.9	78.4±1.2
1125	74.2±0.7	86.3±0.8	75.0±1.9	87.2±1.6	82.5±0.5	84.7 1.2	68.6±1.4	59.5±1.6	79.6±0.9	78.8±1.5	83.7±2.4	86.4±0.7
IC50	27.15 µM	20.94 µM	29.97 µM	18.71 µM	14.79 µM	12.87 µM	36.97 µM	59.5 1.6	27.46 µM	18.38 µM	13.37 µM	6.64 µM
Imax	74%	86%	75%	87%	83 %	85 %	68.6%	72.6%	79.6%	78.8%	84%	86 %
1: Dengue 2 virus in BHK-21cell line; 2: Influenza A Virus (H1N1) in MDCK cell line; 3: Influenza B Virus in a MDCK Cell Infection Model;												
4: Human Rhinovirus 17 in H1HeLa cell line; 5: Human Adenovirus in HeLa cell line; 6: Human Respiratory Syncytial Virus in HEp-2 cell												
line, PM: Prophylactic Method, CCM: Co-culture Method, SD: Standard Deviation, CPE: Cytopathic Effect												

The limitations of this study include that the antiviral activity of Doxycycline *in-vitro* may not accurately reflect the Doxycycline's effectiveness in an *in-vivo* or clinical setting. Furthermore, the study was conducted using cell cultures and a limited number of viruses that may not replicate the complexity of viral infections *in-vivo* and clinically. The study did not explore the mechanisms underlying Doxycycline's antiviral activity, which limits our understanding of how the drug works against viruses.

The strengths of the study are that a Doxycycline was examined against a broad range of viruses that provide a comprehensive understanding of its antiviral properties. As this was an *in-vitro* analysis performed in laboratory settings, this approach provided more controlled and consistent results than clinical studies, which can be affected by confounding factors. Since Doxycycline produced effective antiviral activity at higher doses without toxicity against the viruses tested in this study, it could be repurposed as a readily available and cost-effective treatment option for viral infections, particularly in resource-limited settings where access to specific antiviral agents is limited after further analysis and randomized controlled trials.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the findings of this research offer important new information regarding the antiviral activity of Doxycycline against a total of six distinct viruses, however, the degree to which the drug is successful varies not only with the virus but also with the method that was applied. The antiviral impact of Doxycycline was observed when compared to the effects observed with vehicle control. This antiviral property of Doxycycline will prove beneficial in minimizing secondary infections and complications especially when used as an adjunct.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank NeoCrest Life Sciences Consulting (P) Ltd. for providing medical writing support and BioQuest Solutions Pvt Ltd for the overall co-ordination and TheraIndx Lifesciences Pvt Ltd for conducting the study.

Fundings : The study and publication were financially supported by Dr. Reddy's Laboratories Ltd.

Disclosures : Dr Hemant Thacker, Director and Head of Department of Medicine at Bhatia Hospital, Mumbai, India. Dr Mahesh BN was the Study Director fromTheraIndx Lifesciences Pvt Ltd. Rest all of the authors are employees of Dr Reddy's Laboratories Ltd.

Conflict of Interest : All authors declare no Conflict of Interest.

REFERENCES

- 1 Schmidt AC. Response to dengue fever-the good, the bad, and the ugly. N Engl J Med 2010; **363(5):** 484-7.
- 2 Dangi T, Jain A Influenza virus: a brief overview. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, India Section B: *Biological Sciences* 2012; 82: 111-21.
- 3 Jacobs SE, Lamson DM, St. George K, Walsh TJ Human rhinoviruses. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* 2013; 26(1): 135-62.
- 4 Radke JR, Cook JL Human adenovirus infections: update and consideration of mechanisms of viral persistence. *Current Opinion in Infectious Diseases* 2018; **31(3):** 251.
- 5 Bohmwald K, Espinoza JA, Rey-Jurado E, Gómez RS, González PA, Bueno SM, et al — Human respiratory syncytial virus: infection and pathology. In Seminars in Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine 2016; 37(4): 522-37. Thieme Medical Publishers.
- 6 Cazalis J, Bodet C, Gagnon G, Grenier D Doxycycline reduces lipopolysaccharide induced inflammatory mediator secretion in macrophage and ex vivo human whole blood models. Journal of Periodontology 2008; **79(9):** 1762-8.
- 7 Gaillard T, Madamet M, Pradines B Tetracyclines in malaria. *Malaria Journal* 2015; **14:** 1-0.
- 8 Garg PR Role of doxycycline in the management of dengue fever. *Indian Journal of Clinical Practice* 2018; **18(2):** 132-35.

- 9 Hussin AR, Buckle MJ, Ammar YA, Mohammadjavad P, Shatrah O, Noorsaadah AR, *et al* — Study the antiviral activity of some derivatives of tetracycline and non-steroid anti inflammatory drugs towards dengue virus. Tropical Biomedicine 2013; **30(4):** 1-0.
- 10 Rothan HA, Mohamed Z, Paydar M, Rahman NA, Yusof R Inhibitory effect of doxycycline against dengue virus replication in vitro. *Archives of Virology* 2014; **159:** 711-8.
- 11 Rothan HA, Bahrani H, Mohamed Z, Teoh TC, Shankar EM, Rahman NA, *et al* — A combination of doxycycline and ribavirin alleviated chikungunya infection. PloS one 2015; **10(5)**: e0126360.
- 12 Sharifi A, Amanlou A, Moosavi-Movahedi F, Golestanian S, Amanlou M — Tetracyclines as a potential antiviral therapy against Crimean Congo hemorrhagic fever virus: Docking and molecular dynamic studies. *Computational Biology and Chemistry* 2017; **70:** 1-6.
- 13 Andrighetti-Fröhner CR, Antonio RV, Creczynski-Pasa TB, Barardi CR, Simões CM — Cytotoxicity and potential antiviral evaluation of violacein produced by Chromobacterium violaceum. *Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz* 2003; 98: 843-8.
- 14 Tang LI, Ling AP, Koh RY, Chye SM, Voon KG Screening of anti-dengue activity in methanolic extracts of medicinal plants. BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine 2012; 12(1): 1-0.
- 15 Ogbole OO, Akinleye TE, Segun PA, Faleye TC, Adeniji AJ In vitro antiviral activity of twenty-seven medicinal plant extracts from Southwest Nigeria against three serotypes of echoviruses. *Virology Journal* 2018; **15:** 1-8.
- 16 Chiang LC, Chiang W, Chang MY, Ng LT, Lin CC— Antiviral activity of Plantago major extracts and related compounds in vitro. *Antiviral Research* 2002; **55(1):** 53-62.
- 17 Paschos NK, Brown WE, Eswaramoorthy R, Hu JC, Athanasiou KA — Advances in tissue engineering through stem cell based co culture. *Journal of Tissue Engineering* and Regenerative Medicine 2015; 9(5): 488-503.
- 18 Loustaud-Ratti V, Debette-Gratien M, Jacques J, Alain S, Marquet P, Sautereau D, et al — Ribavirin: Past, present and future. World Journal of Hepatology 2016; 8(2): 123.
- 19 Narasaraju T, Fong C, Lal SK, Chow VT Repurposing of Doxycycline to Attenuate Influenza Virus Pathogenesis Via Inhibition of Matrix Metalloproteinases in Neutrophils. InDrug Repurposing for Emerging Infectious Diseases and Cancer 2023 Feb 8 (pp. 529-542). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.