Original Article

A Study of Serum Magnesium and Serum Zinc Concentration In Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients with and without Diabetic Nephropathy

Tapas Paul¹, Polok Das², Prithwiraj Bhattacharjee³, Dwijen Das⁴

Background : The deficiency of important trace elements like Magnesium and Zinc in terms of their serum concentrations in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus patients, commonly claimed to be a cause of diabetic nephropathy. So the measurement of these two important trace elements in diabetic patients and their replenishment in deficient state could be a possible deterrent to the progression of diabetic nephropathy.

Materials and Methods : This study was undertaken at Silchar Medical College and Hospital, Silchar, from June 2018 to May 2019. A total of 100 patients were taken and divided into two study groups after satisfying the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Then the patients in each group were subjected test for estimation of zinc and magnesium concentration in serum.

Results : Decrease in Zinc level was seen in all Type 2 diabetic patients, but the decrease was more in the group with diabetic nephropathy (62.96±25.48 µg/dl *versus* 106.06±27.94 µg/dl). Also decrease in magnesium was more significant in the group of patients with diabetic nephropathy (1.75±0.38 mg/dl *versus* 2.07±0.18 mg/dl).

Conclusion : From this study it is evident that diabetic nephropathy is associated with lower serum zinc and magnesium level. There is a scope to study the impact of its replenishment to prevent diabetic nephropathy.

[J Indian Med Assoc 2021; 119(1): 52-55]

Key words : Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus, Diabetic Nephropathy, Zinc, Magnesium.

iabetes mellitus refers to a group of common metabolic disorders that share the phenotype of hyperglycemia. Several distinct types of diabetes mellitus are caused by a complex interplay of genetics and environmental factors¹. Chronic and progressive nature of the disorder, which is associated with obesity², hypertension³, advancing age⁴ and inadequate screening⁵ leads to deposition of harmful substances in the vascular endothelium ultimately causing development of micro angiopathies or microvascular complications⁶. These complications include retinopathy, nephropathy and peripheral neuropathy, which produce early death and increased morbidity and health care costs⁷. Normally insulin action was reported to be potentiated by some trace elements as chromium (Cr), magnesium (Mg), vanadium (V), zinc (Zn), manganese (Mn), molybdenum (Mo) and selenium (Se). It has been suggested that hypomagnesaemia may induce altered cellular glucose transport, reduced pancreatic insulin secretion, defective post receptor insulin signaling, and/or altered insulin-insulin receptor interaction⁸ and it is a possible

Department of Medicine, Silchar Medical College, Silchar 788014 ¹MBBS, Postgraduate Student

²MD (Gen Medicine), Associate Professor

³MD (Gen Medicine), FICP, Professor & Head

⁴MD (Gen Medicine) FACP(USA) FRCP(Glasg) FIACM, Associate Professor and Corresponding Author

Received on : 25/02/2020

Accepted on : 15/07/2020

Editor's Comment :

- Low serum magnesium and zinc concentration was found associated with diabetic nephropathy as compared to those without nephropathy.
- It gives us an insight, whether replacement of these micronutrients can prevent development of diabetic nephropathy.

metabolic factor involved in the pathogenesis of diabetic micro and macro vascular complications^{9,10}. Again several complications of diabetes may be related to increased intracellular oxidants and free radicals associated to decreases in intracellular Zn and Zn-dependent antioxidant enzymes¹¹ and it has been observed to effectively ameliorate diabetes-related complications in various animal models¹². Zn is also an effective inducer of gene and protein expressions of Metallothionein, a potent antioxidant¹³

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This case control study was undertaken at Silchar Medical College and Hospital, Silchar, from June 2018 to May, 2019. Here 50 cases are diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus patients with diabetic nephropathy (DN) compared with 50 controls after age and sex matched from the same population, suffering from type 2 diabetes mellitus without diabetic nephropathy (NDN). Subjects suffering from hepatic disease, congestive heart failure and those taking mineral supplementation were excluded. Informed consent was obtained from participants and protocol was approved by Institutional ethical committee. Serum Zn and magnesium were estimated by colorimetric method. Also spot urine sample was collected to estimate urinary albumin and creatinine. Albumin creatinine ratio used to assess diabetic nephropathy. Statistical analysis used student 't' test and p value less than 0.05 were consider significant. The patients and control data were collected in excel sheet and calculated using Graph pad instat 3 software.

RESULT

In patients without diabetic nephropathy (control), the mean serum magnesium and mean serum zinc concentration were 2.07 ± 0.18 mg/dl and $106.06\pm$ 27.94μ g/dl, and in those with diabetic nephropathy (case), the mean serum magnesium and mean serum zinc concentration were 1.75 ± 0.38 mg/dl and $62.96\pm$ 25.48μ g/dl respectively and the difference were highly significant (p<0.0001). It is observed that patients without diabetic nephropathy had higher levels of mean serum magnesium and serum zinc in comparison with patients with diabetic nephropathy (Tables 1 & 2).

The range for the levels of mean serum magnesium in patients without and with diabetic nephropathy was (1.6-2.4)mg/dl and (1-2.3)mg/dl with confidence interval of (2.02-2.12) and (1.64-1.86) respectively. The median in control and case group was 2.0mg/dl and 1.9 mg/ dl, and the standard error of mean was 0.025 and 0.054.

The range for the levels of mean serum zinc in patients without and with diabetic nephropathy was (45-150) μ g/dl and (21-117) μ g/dl with confidence intervals of (98.11-114.01) and (55.71-70.20) respectively. The median in control and case group was 106.0 μ g/dl and 55 μ g/dl and the standard error of mean was 3.95 and 3.6 respectively (Table 3).

On comparing the two groups, the mean serum magnesium concentration in patients with diabetic nephropathy was observed to be lower by 0.32 than that in patients without diabetic nephropathy. This difference was highly significant (p<0.001). Similarly, the mean serum zinc concentration in patients with diabetic nephropathy was lower by 43.1 than that in patients without nephropathy. This difference was highly significant (p<0.001) (Table 4).

Table 1 — Serum Magnesium and Serum Zinc Concentrationsin Patients with and without Diabetic Nephropathy				
Group	Patients with NDN (Control)	Patients with DN (Case)	P-value	
Mean Serum Magnesiu Concentration (mg/dl)	m 2.07±0.18	1.75±0.38	<0.0001	
Mean Serum Zinc Concentration (µg/dl)	106.06±27.94	62.96±25.48	<0.0001	

The mean serum magnesium and serum zinc in patients without diabetic nephropathy were 2.096±0.17mg/dl and 107.51±29.58 μ g/dl in patients aged 50 years or less, and 2.034±0.19 mg/dl and 103.58±25.18 μ g/dl in patients above 50 years respectively. The differences between means were not significant. The mean serum magnesium and serum zinc in patients who had diabetic nephropathy were 1.65±0.48 mg/dl and 74.42±23.84 μ g/dl in patients aged 50 years or less, and 1.77±0.37mg/dl and 61.09±25.51 μ g/dl in patients above 50 years. The differences between means were not significant means were not significant approximately.

The mean serum magnesium levels in female and male patients with and without diabetic nephropathy were 1.8 ± 0.40 mg/dl, 2.07 ± 0.19 mg/dl and 1.71 ± 0.36 mg/dl, 2.08 ± 0.17 mg/dl respectively. The differences were not significant.

The mean serum zinc levels in female and male patients with and without diabetic nephropathy were $64.26\pm25.70 \ \mu g/dl$, $104.39\pm30.21 \ \mu g/dl$ and $61.85\pm25.73 \ \mu g/dl$, $c108.18\pm25.28 \ \mu g/dl$. The differences were not significant.

DISCUSSION

In this study, it has been observed that in patients without diabetic nephropathy, the mean serum magnesium concentration was found to be 2.07 ± 0.18 mg/dl, and in those with diabetic nephropathy, the mean serum magnesium concentration was found to be 1.75 ± 0.38 mg/dl. The difference was found to be highly significant (p<0.0001).

Das N *et al*¹⁴ in their study found that the mean serum magnesium level in normal ACR and in the high ACR subjects were 2.416 ± 0.14 mg/dl and $1.646 \pm$

Table 2 — Analysis of Serum Magnesium and Serum Zinc Levels of Sample Under Study											
Group	Number of	Median Min		1	Мах		95%Confidence Interval		Standard error of Mean		
	Patients	Mg (mg/dl)	Zn (μg/dl)	Mg (mg/dl)	Zn (μg/dl)	Mg (mg/dl)	Zn (μg/dl)	Mg (mg/dl)	Zn (μg/dl)	Mg (mg/dl)	Zn (µg/dl)
Patients with NDN (control) Patients With DN (case)	50 50	2.0 1.9	106 55	1.6 1	45 21	2.4 2.3	150 117	2.02-2.12 1.64-1.86	98.11-114.01 55.71-70.20	0.025 0.054	3.95 3.6

Mean Serum M

Serum Zinc in

Sub-groups of

Table 3 — Comparison of Serum Magnesium and Zinc Levelbetween Patients without Diabetic Nephropathy and Patientswith Diabetic Nephropathy					
lean Serum Magnesium and Serum Zinc in the Different Sub-groups of Patients	Mean Difference	P Value	cont N plas		

Without Diabetic Nephropathy (Control) 2.07-1.75=0.32 - Diabetic Nephropathy (Case) p<0.001

Without Diabetic Nephropathy (Control) Diabetic Nephropathy (Case) 106.06-62.96=43.1 p<0.001 els of zinc was low in uncontrolled diabetes patients micro-vascular complications and found to be ± 12.5 , 95 ± 20.42) (p< 0.001) when compared to rol.

Viosaad A Abou-seif and Abd-Allah Youssef¹⁷ found ma zinc to be significantly less in diabetic patients with microvascular complications as compared to healthy controls. In Punjab, Puri M et al¹⁸), found zinc levels to be more decreased in the group with microangiopathic complications than in the group with uncomplicated diabetes mellitus (81.16+24.34 versus

Table 4 — Analysis of Serum Magnesium and Zinc with Regard to Age						
Groups	Me	Mean Serum Magnesium (Mg/dl) \pm SD and Mean Serum Zinc (μ g/dl) \pm SD				
	Age 50 ye	ars or less	Age >	50 years		
-	Mg	Zn	Mg	Zn	Mg	Zn
Patients with NDN (Control) Patients with DN (Case)	2.096 ± 0.17 107.51±29 1.65 ± 0.48 74.42± 23		2.034 ± 0.19 1.77±0.37	.034 ± 0.19 103.58± 25.18 1.77±0.37 61.09±25.51		>0.20 >0.64
Table 5 — Serum Magnesium and Serum Zinc Among Female and Male Diabetic Patients with and without Diabetic Nephropathy						
Groups	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$					
	Females Males					
	Mg	Zn	Mg	Zn	Mg	Zn

104.39±30.21

64.26±25.70

2.08±0.17

1.71±0.36

92.01 + 20.17; p<0.05) and also Al-Timimi DJ, et al¹⁹ found that advancing diabetic nephropathy represented by decreasing GFR and increasing micro albuminuria is associated with lower serum zinc levels.

In this study, the finding was in agreement with the findings of the workers stated above, and may suggest а correlation between

0.030 mg/dl respectively and was statistically significant with p-value < 0.05.

2.07±0.19

1.8±0.40

Patients without DN (Control)

Patients with DN (Case)

Kishan R H et al¹⁵ found mean serum magnesium levels among Type 2 DM with renal dysfunction, Type 2 DM without renal dysfunction and healthy controls were 0.795±0.199mg/dl, 1.319±0.103mg/dl and 2.33±0.28mg/dl respectively but the type of renal dysfunction did not mention in the study.

The finding of the present series was in agreement with the findings of the workers stated above, and may suggest a correlation between magnesium deficiency and development of microvascular complications in diabetes but we did not find any association between serum magnesium with age and sex.

Also in the present study, the patients without nephropathy, the mean serum zinc concentration was found to be 106.06 \pm 27.94 µg/dl, and in those with nephropathy the mean serum zinc concentration was found to be 62.96±25.48 µg/dl. The difference was found to be highly significant (p<0.0001).

In a study done by Jyothirmayi B et al¹⁶, the serum

Zinc deficiency and development of micro vascular complications in diabetes but we did not find any association between serum Zinc with age and sex.

>0.63

>0.74

>0.85

>0.44

CONCLUSION

The study showed that serum magnesium and serum zinc concentrations were lower in patients with diabetic nephropathy than in patients without diabetic nephropathy. So to elucidate the cause of this alternation, a large scale clinical trials are needed in order to determine whether the alterations in serum level of magnesium and zinc are cause or consequence of diabetes mellitus and correction magnesium and zinc deficiency could be effective to reduce the incidence of diabetic nephropathy and to further elucidate the association between serum magnesium and serum zinc with diabetic nephropathy.

Limitations :

108.18±25.25

61.85±25.73

- (1) Single center study.
- (2) Small sample size.
- (3) Short duration of study.

Funding : None. Conflict of Interest : None. Ethical permission : Taken. REFERENCES

- Powers AC Diabetes mellitus. In Longo, Fauci, Kasper, Hauser, Jameson, Loscalzo. Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine.: McGraw Hill (Medical); 2018. 2850-59.
- 2 Hendrick AL, Jacqueline MD, Annette CM, Nejpels G, Robert JH Blood pressure, lipids and obesity are associated with retinopathy. *Diabetes Care* 2002; **25 (8):** 1320-5.
- 3 United Kingdom Prospective Diabetic Study group Tight blood pressure control and risk of macrovascular and microvascular complications in type 2 diabetes. *BMJ* 1998; 317: 703-13.
- 4 Belmin J, Valensi P Diabetic neuropathy in elderly patients. What can be done? *Drug Aging* 1996; **8(6):** 416-29.
- 5 Akbar DH, Mushtaq MA, Alagamdi AA Inadequqte screening for microvascular complications among diabetic patients. *Diabetes International* 2001; **11 (1):** 22-4
- 6 King G, Brown LM The cellular and molecular mechanisms of diabetic complications. *Endocrinological Metabolic Clinic North America* 1996; 25: 255-70
- 7 American Diabetes Association Economic consequences of diabetes mellitus in US in 1997. *Diabetes Care* 1998; 21: 296-309.
- 8 Geiger H, Wanner C Magnesium in disease. *Clin Kidney J* 2012; 5: i25-38.
- 9 Mather HM, Levin GE. Magnesium status in diabetes. *Lancet* 1979 April 28; 1(8122): 924.
- 10 Seelig MS, Heggtevit Magnesium interrelationship in ischemic heart disease. Am J Clin Nutr 1974; 27: 59-70.
- 11 Powell SR The antioxidant properties of zinc. *Journal of Nutrition* 2000; **130**: 1447S-54S.
- 12 Adachi Y, Yoshida J, Kodera Y, et al Oral administration of a zinc complex improves type 2 diabetes and metabolic syndromes. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 2006; 351(1):165-70.
- 13 Alscher DM, Braun N, Biegger D, et al —Induction of metallothionein in proximal tubular cells by zinc and its potential as an endogenous antioxidant. *Kidney and Blood Pressure Research* 2005; **28(3)**: 127-33.
- 14 Das N, Mandal D, Ray Chaudhuri A, Karmakar A, Chandra Ray A, Mukhopadhyay M — Importance of Assessment of Serum Magnesium in type II Diabetes Mellitus Patients and its Relationship with Urinary MicroalbuminCreatinine Ratio. *J Med Res Prac*; 7(3): 68-70.
- 15 Kishan Reddy H, Venugopal B Association of serum Magnesium levels with renal function in patients with diabetes

mellitus type 2. A cross sectional study in rural population of North Telangana.perspective in medical research September December 2018; **6(3)**: 37-9.

- 16 Jyothirmayi B, Vasantha M Study of Zinc and GlycatedHb Levels in Diabetic Complications. IJPCR, September-October. 2015; 7(5): 360-3.
- 17 Mosaad A Abou-Seif, Abd-Allah Youssef Evaluation of some biochemical changes in diabetic patients. Clinica Chimica Acta; 16 August 2004; **346(2):** 161-70.
- 18 Puri M, Uma Gujral SB Nayyar.comparative study of serum zinc, magnesium and copper levels among patients of type 2 diabetes mellitus with and without microangiopathic complications. Innovative Journal of Medical and Health Science, 6 November – December 2013; 3: 274-8.
- 19 Dhia J al-Timimi, Dhia M Sulieman, KaJeen R huSSen Zinc Status in Type 2 Diabetic Patients: *Relation to the Progression* of Diabetic Nephropathy.Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research 2014; 8(11): 4-6.

If you want to send your queries and receive the response on any subject from JIMA, please use the E-mail or Mobile facility.

Know Your JIMA

Website	:	https://onlinejima.com
For Reception	:	Mobile : +919477493033
For Editorial	:	jima1930@rediffmail.com
		Mobile : +919477493027
For Circulation	:	jimacir@gmail.com
		Mobile : +919477493037
For Marketing	:	jimamkt@gmail.com
		Mobile : +919477493036
For Accounts	:	journalaccts@gmail.com
		Mobile : +919432211112
For Guideline	:	https://onlinejima.com

Disclaimer

The information and opinions presented in the Journal reflect the views of the authors and not of the Journal or its Editorial Board or the Publisher. Publication does not constitute endorsement by the journal. JIMA assumes no responsibility for the authenticity or reliability of any product, equipment, gadget or any claim by medical

establishments/institutions/manufacturers or any training programme in the form of advertisements appearing in JIMA and also does not endorse or give any guarantee to such products or training programme or promote any such thing or claims made so after. — Hony Editor