

Short term outcome of conservative versus steroid injection in tennis elbow in eastern India population — a randomized control study

Kuladip Mukhopadhyay¹, Wasim Bari²

Tennis Elbow is a common clinical entity.we have compared two different methods, conservative versus steroid injection for treating this condition.24 patients were studied and shown a better clinical outcome in injection group. [J Indian Med Assoc 2018; 116: 44 & 46]

Key words: Tennis elbow, conservative, corticosteroid.

Tennis elbow is the inflammation of the tendons that ▲ are attached to the lateral epicondyle. It is also known as lateral epicondylitis¹. It is mostly associated with repetitive activity of wrist and arm. Though the name is given Tennis elbow, only 8% patient actually plays tennis. People whose jobs feature the types of motions that can lead to tennis elbow include plumbers, painters, carpenters and butchers. In our OPD set up (Nil Ratan Sircar Medical College), we got a good number of patients having elbow pain and after clinical examination it is diagnosed as Tennis Elbow. It is most common at age 40². By incapacitating the patient with elbow pain, Tennis Elbow can cause severe economic crisis. Prompt early recovery is highly needed in these patients. Now there is different type of treatment modalities available for managing Tennis Elbow. Among them conservative treatment and local steroid injection is most popular³. In our study, we will try to find out the effectiveness of both these methods in our set up and also comparing them.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

All the patients were chosen from ot patient. Total 24 patients were selected (age group 25-55) in the study after written consent form. There were few inclusion and exclusion criteria to select the patients for study and they were divided randomly into two groups [n=12].

Inclusion Criteria: (1) Pain on lat aspect of elbow for last 3 months. COZEN's test positive. Typically pain on forced dorsiflexion of wrist. Visual analogue scale is used to grade the pain severity⁴.

(2) Pain with wrist movement which hampers activity of daily living.

Exclusion criteria: (1) Diabetic patient, (2) local skin

Department of Orthopaedics, Midnapore Medical College, Paschim Medinipur 721101

¹MS (Ortho), Associate Professor

²MS (Ortho), Assistant Professor, Department of Orthopaedics, Mursidabad Medical College, Mursidabad 742101 and Corresponding author

disorder. (3) Neurological disorder. (4) Previous surgery on elbow.

Techniques 12 patients opted for local steroid injection. 40 mg of depomedrol diluted with 1% lignocaine is injected into Extensor digitorum brevis under aseptic condition.

12 patients opted for conservative treatment with analgesics, ultrasound massage and wax bath. Physiotherapy was given for 1 week⁶.

Follow up: Patients were followed up at 3 weeks and 6 weeks at OPD. Pain was graded by visual analogue scale (VAS). Grip strength, wrist movement, overall improvement in activity of daily living is noted^{2,7}. Results were compared using statistical tests.

Results: Both the groups were matched regarding demographic pattern, elbow pain pattern, mean age and other factors. No patients develop anaphylactic reaction to injection. Rapid improvement in pain seen in follow up in steroid injection group (p=0.007). Improvement in functional status⁸ is also noted in steroid injection group. Visual analogue scale also showed significant improvement. Overall the results showed that steroid injection group has significant early recovery.

DISCUSSION

In this short termstudy, we have found that steroid injection in tennis elbow shows early significant improvement and patient can perform his/her activities for daily living earlier^{3,9}. This early return of activity can evade the economic crisis. Rateof recurrence of elbow pain in future is similar in both treatment modalities. Another thing is cost, which is higher in conservative treatment due to multiple times visit to the physiotherapist. Some studies are there which describes that steroid injection in Tennis elbowcan cause diminished collagen strength and tendon weakness and rupture^{4,10}. But in our study we haven't found a single case with above mentioned feature. Perhaps good technique while giving injection is necessary^{6,10}.

(Continued on page 46)

(Continued from page 44)

CONCLUSION

Steroid injection is a good alternative to conservative methods^{7,8} with early return of activity, and rapid improvement of pain and functional status.

REFERENCES

- 1 Gruchow HW, Pelletier D An epidemiologic study of tennis elbow, incidence, recurrence and effectiveness of prevention strategies. Am J Sports Medicine 1979; 7: 234-8.
- 2 Dimberg L The prevalence and causation of tennis elbow in a population of workers in an engineering industry. Ergonomics 1987; 30: 573-80.
- Cyriax JH. The pathology and treatment of tennis elbow. J Bone Joint Surg 1936; 18: 921-40.
- 4 Cabot A; Tennis elbow, a curable affliction. Orthop Rev 1987

16: 69-73.

- Hughes GR, Currey HL Hypospray treatment of tennis elbow. Ann Rheum Dis 1969; 28: 58-62.
- CoonradRW, Hooper WR Tennis elbow: its course, natural history, conservative and surgical management. J Bone Joint Surg [Am] 1973; 55-A: 1177-82.
- Gaujoux-Viala C, Dougados M, Gossec L Efficacy and safety of steroid injections for shoulder and elbow tendonitis: a metaanalysis of randomised controlled trials. Ann Rheum Dis 2009; 68: 1843-49.
- Leanne Bisset, Brooke Coombes; Tennnis elbow; BMJ Clin Evid 2011; 1117.
- Leach RE, Miller Lateral and medial epicondylitis of the elbow. Clin Sports Med 1987; 6: 259-72.
- Gieck JH, Saliba E Application of modalities in overuse syndromes. Clin Sports Med 1987; 6: 427-66.