

Bolus insulin therapy with focus on faster aspart

Manjeeta Gupta¹, Deepak Khandelwal², Aakash Garg³

Insulin therapy remains the mainstay of treatment in type 1 diabetes, gestational diabetes and advanced/complicated cases of type 2 diabetes. Bolus insulins are often used as part of bolus-basal regimen to control postprandial hyperglycemia. Current bolus insulins including short acting analogues have few limitations primarily unable to optimally control 1 hour postmeal blood glucose excursion. Faster aspart is one near ideal bolus insulin with pharmacokinetic profile almost mimicking normal insulin physiology and results in better control of postprandial hyperglycemia especially 1 hour postprandial hyperglycemia. This review summarises pharmacokinetic profile, clinical trial data and potential clinical uses of faster aspart insulin.

[J Indian Med Assoc 2018; 116: 14-5 & 50]

Key words : Insulin, faster aspart, regular insulin, postprandial hyperglycemia, prandial insulin, bolus insulin.

The goal of diabetes management is prevention of long-term complications¹. The United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) in type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) have demonstrated that with intensive therapy and a reduction in glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) by 1% is associated with 37% reduction in the microvascular and 14% in the macrovascular complications²⁻⁴. Growing evidence suggest that insulin therapy is required in T2DM patients (Table 1)⁵. When considering the effectiveness, tolerability, and cost of the various diabetes treatments, insulin is not only the most potent, but also the most cost-effective intervention⁶.

14

Although basal insulins are generally the convenient option for initiation of insulin therapy, it does not adequately control postprandial hyperglycemia⁷. Increased postprandial glucose levels contribute to overall hyperglycaemia in diabetes, and control of postprandial hyperglycaemia

is an important factor for achieving HbA1c targets. Early administration of insulin restores first-phase insulin secretion and improves postprandial glucose tolerance⁸. Therefore the need for bolus insulins arises to take care of the postprandial hyperglycemia⁹.

Currently Available Bolus Insulins and Their Limitations :

Currently there are two types of bolus insulins available: short-acting and rapid-acting. Short-acting involves use of regular insulin. It is not absorbed as quickly. There-

- ¹Medical Advisor, Novo Nordisk India Private Ltd, Bangalore, Karnataka 560066
- ²Department of Endocrinology, Maharaja Agrasen Hospital, Punjabi Bagh, New Delhi 110026 and Corresponding author

³Department of Medicine, Maharaja Agrasen Hospital, Punjabi Bagh, New Delhi 110026

1	Table 1 — Evidence for starting insulin in type 2 diabetes ^o			
)	Strong observational and randomized clinical trial evidence			
-	 Insulin secretory capacity deteriorates with time 			
-	 Insulin improves glycemic control in trials and in routine clinical practice 			
	 Improved glucose control improves HRQoL 			
,	 LADA phenotype is associated with early need for insulin therapy 			
-	Randomized clinical trial evidence of variable quality			
-	 Outcomes of acute illness are improved if glycemic control is better 			
1	 Long-term medical outcomes are improved by better glycemic control 			
	 Optimum Glycemic control (HbA1c<7.0%) is difficult to achieve and 			
,	maintain without insulin			
,	 Insulin is successful in combination with oral agents 			
	General knowledge and expert experience			
t	 Insulin treats and prevents ketoacidosis 			
	 Severe hyperglycemia predisposes to infection 			
-	 Physician hesitancy in starting insulin therapy is a main barrier to insulin use 			
t	 Patient preferences and views of injected therapies vary markedly 			
Ċ	 Insulin therapy can be tailored rapidly to changes in need during acute illness 			
	 Insulin has potential powerful anabolic effects (wound healing, etc.) 			
-				
-	HRQoL-health-related quality of life; HbA1c-glycated hemoglobin			
-	HRQoL-health-related quality of life; HbA1c-glycated hemoglobin			

fore, it is usually injected 30 minutes before meals. Rapidacting (insulin aspart [IAsp], insulin lispro, and insulin glulisine) are injected up to 5-10 minutes before meals as they are absorbed by the body almost immediately and begin working within 15 minutes (Table 2)⁹.

A 1-hour postprandial plasma glucose value \geq 8.6 mmol/ l (\geq 155 mg/dl) has been associated with greater risk of cardiovascular disease and correlated better with insulin sensitivity and secretion than fasting plasma glucose or the 2-h postprandial plasma glucose level¹⁰. Despite improvements in pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profiles as compared to regular insulin, current rapid-acting insulin analogues are still unable to replicate the physiological insulin secretion profile in healthy individuals. Consequently, an injection–meal interval may be required to achieve optimum postprandial glucose control and even then 1 hour postmeal control is not optimally achieved. Therefore, there is a need

Table 2 — Compo	Table 2 — Comparison of short-acting and rapid acting bolus insulins			
	Short-acting bolus	Rapid-acting bolus	1	
Available insulins	Regular	Aspart, lispro and glulisine	1	
Injection timing	30 minutes before meals	5-10 minutes before meals	1	
Absorption	Slow	Fast	•	
Onset of action	30 minutes after injection	Within 15 minutes	ľ	
Duration of action	4-6 hours	3-5 hours	ľ	
Adherence to schedule	Inconvenient and difficult to adhere	More convenient for patients and greater adherence]	

to develop newer insulins that can mimic the physiological insulin profile more closely. Faster aspart (FiAsp) is one such modified formulation with excipients that accelerate the monomer formation and/or influence the injection site and thus alter the absorption kinetics¹¹.

Faster Aspart (FiAsp) :

FiAsp is a modified formulation of insulin aspart (IAsp; NovoRapid®) by adding niacinamide and L-arginine. Niacinamide promotes faster initial absorption after subcutaneous injection and L-arginine is used as a stabilizing agent. With these two modifications FiAsp is predicted to create a more physiological insulin profile with a resultant improvement in postprandial glycaemic excursions¹¹.

Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics in Adults with Type 1 Diabetes (71DM)

A pooled analysis of six randomised, double-blind, crossover trials included 218 adult subjects with T1DM. Subjects received subcutaneous dosing (0.2 U/kg) of FiAsp and IAsp. In three trials, a 12-h euglycemic clamp was performed (target 5.5 mmol/L; 100 mg/dL) to assess pharmacodynamics. The pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profiles for FiAsp shifted to the left compared to IAsp (Fig 1). Onset of action occurred 4.9 min earlier, early glucose-lowering effect was 74% greater and offset of glucose-lowering effect occurred 14.3 min earlier for FiAsp versus and IAsp. Total exposure and total glucose-lowering effect did not differ significantly between treatments¹².

The study concluded that FiAsp has an earlier onset and higher early exposure than IAsp, and a greater early glucose-lowering effect, with similar potency. It has the potential to mimic the physiologic prandial insulin secretion and thereby to improve postprandial glucose control compared with IAsp¹².



Fig 1 — Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profiles of 0.2 U/ kg faster aspart and insulin aspart in subjects with type 1 diabetes (IAsp- Insulin aspart; GIR-glucose infusion rate)

Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacody amics in Children and Adolescent :

A randomized, double-blind, 2-period crossover rial evaluated the pharmacological properties of iAsp versus IAsp in 12 children (6-11 years), 13 dolescents (12-17years), and 15 adults (18-64 years) with T1DM. Onset of appearance of FiAsp was aproximately twice-as-fast (5-7 minutes earlier) and

early exposure was greater than IAsp. However, there were no differences in total exposure or maximum concentration (Cmax). FiAsp reduced two-hour postmeal plasma glucose excursion more than IAsp. Differences between FiAsp and IAsp were similar across all age groups with respect to all parameters. These results suggest that FiAsp has the potential to improve postprandial glycaemia better than current rapid-acting insulins in children and adolescents¹³.

Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics

in Elderly:

A randomised, double-blind, two-period crossover trial compared FiAsp and IAsp in 30 elderly (>65 years) and 37 younger adults (18-35 years) with T1DM. The pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profiles shifted to left for FiAsp compared to IAsp. In the elderly, onset of action was 10 min faster and 9 min faster in younger adults. FiAsp produced a greater early glucose-lowering effect than for IAsp in both age groups. There were no age group differences in glucose-lowering effect¹⁴.

Clinical Efficacy in 71DM-Ouset 1 Trial:

The efficacy and safety of FiAsp was compared with IAsp in a multicentre, treat-to-target, phase 3 trial in adults with T1DM (onset 1) conducted at 165 sites across nine countries. The primary end point was change from baseline in HbA1c after 26 weeks. Both the treatments reduced HbA1c and FiAsp was noninferior to IAsp for both mealtime and postmeal glucose. With mealtime FiAsp, postprandial plasma glucose increment was statistically significantly lower at 1 h and 2 hour after the meal test. FiAsp was superior to IAsp for the 2-hour PPG increment. The overall rate of hypoglycaemic episodes and safety profiles were similar between treatments¹⁵.

The above study was continued for additional 26 weeks (total trial duration 52 weeks). After 52 weeks, estimated mean changes from baseline in HbA1c levels were -0.08% with FiAsp and +0.01% with IAsp. There was a significant difference between the treatments favouring FiAsp. Changes from baseline in 1-hour postprandial plasma glucose increment also significantly favoured FiAsp¹⁶.

Clinical Efficacy in 72DM – Onset 2 and 3 Trials :

Onset 2 compared the efficacy and safety of FiAsp versus IAsp in adults with T2DM receiving basal insulin (Continued on page 50)

(Continued from page 15)

and oral antidiabetic agents. The primary end point was HbA1c change from baseline after 26 weeks' treatment. At the end of treatment, both treatments decreased mean HbA1c to 6.6%, suggesting non-inferiority of FiAsp versus IAsp in reducing HbA1c. Postprandial plasma glucose control after 1 hour was significantly better with FiAsp, but not after 2-4 hours. Changes in other parameters such as fasting plasma glucose level, body weight, and overall severe/blood glucose-confirmed hypoglycaemia rates were similar between treatments¹⁷.

Onset 3 study assessed superiority of FiAsp in a basalbolus regimen vs. basal-only insulin. Inadequately controlled T2DM patients receiving basal insulin and oral antidiabetic drugs were randomised to either a basal bolus regimen with FiAsp (n = 116) or continued on once-daily basal insulin (n = 120). Basal bolus regimen decreased HbA1c from 7.9% to 6.8% and basal regimen decreased HbA1c from 7.9% to 7.7%. There was a statistically significant reduction in mean 2-hour postprandial glucose in basal bolus regimen. However, severe/blood glucose confirmed hypoglycaemia rate (12.8 *versus* 2.0 episodes per patient-years of exposure), total daily insulin (1.2 *versus* 0.6 U/kg) and weight gain (1.8 *versus* 0.2 kg) were greater with basal bolus regimen than with basal-only treatment¹⁸.

Advantage of FiAsp and Clinical

Implications :

Controlling postprandial glucose excursions is important for improving overall glycaemic control. Appearance of insulin in the blood is quicker with FiAsp than with IAsp after subcutaneous injection. It controls postprandial glucose better than IAsp especially the 1-hour postprandial glucose. Additionally, FiAsp could provide more flexibility in meal scheduling. This may be of particular importance for patients who have difficulties in meal planning and forget to take insulin injections before meals¹³.

References

- Home P, Riddle M, Cefalu WT, Bailey CJ, Bretzel RG, Del Prato S, et al — Insulin therapy in people with type 2 diabetes: opportunities and challenges? Diabetes Care 2014; 37: 1499-508.
- 2 UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group Intensive blood-glucose control with sulphonylureas or insulin compared with conventional treatment and risk of complications in patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 33. *Lancet* 1998; **352**: 837-53.
- 3 Stratton IM, Adler AI, Neil HA, Matthews DR, Manley SE, Cull CA, et al — Association of glycaemia with macrovascular and microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 35): prospective observational study. BMJ 2000; **321**: 405-12.

- 4 Holman RR, Paul SK, Bethel MA, Matthews DR, Neil HA 10year follow-up of intensive glucose control in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2008; 359: 1577-89.
- 5 Brady VJ Insulin Therapy: The Old, the New and the Novel-An Overview. Nurs Clin North Am 2017; 52: 539-52.
- 6 Swinnen SG, Hoekstra JB, DeVries JH Insulin therapy for type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2009; **32**: S253-9.
- 7 Hamaty M Insulin treatment for type 2 diabetes: When to start, which to use. Cleve Clin J Med 2011; 78: 332-42.
- 8 Woerle HJ, Neumann C, Zschau S Impact of fasting and postprandial glycemia on overall glycemic control in type 2 diabetes importance of postprandial glycemia to achieve target HbA1c levels. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2007; 77: 280-5.
- 9 Ziegler R, Freckmann G, Heinemann L Boluses in Insulin Therapy. J Diabetes Sci Technol 2017; 11: 165-71.
- 10 Bergman M, Chetrit A, Roth J, Dankner R One-hour postload plasma glucose level during the OGTT predicts mortality: observations from the Israel Study of Glucose Intolerance, Obesity and Hypertension. *Diabet Med* 2016; **33**: 1060-6.
- 11 Biester T, Kordonouri O, Danne T Pharmacological Properties of Faster-Acting Insulin Aspart. Curr Diab Rep 2017; 17: 101.
- 12 Heise T, Pieber TR, Danne T, Erichsen L, Haahr H A Pooled Analysis of Clinical Pharmacology Trials Investigating the Pharmacokinetic and Pharmacodynamic Characteristics of Fast-Acting Insulin Aspart in Adults with Type 1 Diabetes. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 2017; **56:** 551-9.
- 13 Fath M, Danne T, Biester T, Erichsen L, Kordonouri O, Haahr H — Faster-acting insulin aspart provides faster onset and greater early exposure vs insulin aspart in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Pediatr Diabetes* 2017; **18**: 903-10.
- 14 Heise T, Hövelmann U, Zijlstra E, Stender-Petersen K, Jacobsen JB, Haahr H A Comparison of Pharmacokinetic and Pharmacodynamic Properties between Faster-Acting Insulin Aspart and Insulin Aspart in Elderly Subjects with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus. *Drugs Aging* 2017; **34**: 29-38.
- 15 Russell-Jones D, Bode BW, De Block C, Franek E, Heller SR, Mathieu C, *et al* — Fast-Acting Insulin Aspart Improves Glycemic Control in Basal-Bolus Treatment for Type 1 Diabetes: Results of a 26-Week Multicenter, Active-Controlled, Treat-to-Target, Randomized, Parallel-Group Trial (onset 1). *Diabetes Care* 2017; **40**: 943-50.
- 16 Mathieu C, Bode BW, Franek E, Philis-Tsimikas A, Rose L, Graungaard T, *et al* — Efficacy and safety of fast-acting insulin aspart in comparison with insulin aspart in type 1 diabetes (onset 1): a 52-week, randomized, treat-to-target, phase 3 trial. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2018; Jan 8.
- 17 Bowering K, Case C, Harvey J, Reeves M, Sampson M, Strzinek R, et al Faster aspart versus insulin aspart as part of a basalbolus regimen in inadequately controlled type 2 diabetes: The onset 2 Trial. *Diabetes Care* 2017; **40**: 951-7.
- 18 Rodbard HW, Tripathy D, Vidrio Velázquez M, Demissie M, Tamer SC, Piletic M — Adding fast-acting insulin aspart to basal insulin significantly improved glycaemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes: A randomized, 18-week, open-label, phase 3 trial (onset 3). *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2017; **19**: 1389-96.